

Existence of Unbounded Solutions in Rational Equations

E. Camouzis^a, E. A. Grove^b, Y. Kostrov^b, and G. Ladas^{b,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, The American College of Greece, 6 Grivas Street, Aghia Paraskevi, 15342 Athens, Greece*

^b*Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island, 02881-0816, USA*

Received April 12, 2007; accepted July 27, 2007

Abstract. We exhibit a range of parameters and a set of initial conditions where the rational difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \sum_{i=0}^{2k} \beta_i x_{n-i}}{A + \sum_{j=0}^k B_{2j} x_{n-2j}}$$

has unbounded solutions.

AMS Subject Classifications: 39A10, 39A11

Keywords: Existence of unbounded solutions; Rational difference equations

1. Introduction

The existence of unbounded solutions in rational difference equations has recently been investigated in a series of papers. See [1]–[18].

We establish the existence of unbounded solutions in the rational difference equation

E-mail addresses: camouzis@acgmail.gr (E. Camouzis), grove@math.uri.edu (E. A. Grove), ekostrov@yahoo.com (Y. Kostrov), gladas@math.uri.edu (G. Ladas)

*Corresponding author

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \sum_{i=0}^{2k} \beta_i x_{n-i}}{A + \sum_{j=0}^k B_{2j} x_{n-2j}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

where k is a positive integer, and where the parameters and initial conditions are non-negative real numbers chosen such that the denominator is always positive. More precisely we exhibit a range of parameters and a set of initial conditions where Eq.(1.1) has unbounded solutions.

This result extends the known results of the special case #195 (see [11])

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta x_n + \gamma x_{n-1} + \delta x_{n-2}}{A + x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

This result also includes the fourth order rational difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta x_n + \gamma x_{n-1} + \delta x_{n-2} + \varepsilon x_{n-3}}{A + Bx_n + Dx_{n-2}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and shows that the 21 special cases #286, #344-351, #412-415, and #472-479 of Eq.(1.1) have unbounded solutions.

For the notation of the special cases, see [10] and [17].

2. Existence of Unbounded Solutions

In this section, we establish the existence of unbounded solutions of Eq.(1.1).

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $B_0 > 0$. Set*

$$U = \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{2k}}{B_0}$$

and assume that

$$\beta_1 > A + U(B_0 + B_2 + \dots + B_{2k}).$$

Then Eq.(1.1) has unbounded solutions.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen such that

$$\beta_1 > A + (U + \varepsilon)(B_0 + B_2 + \dots + B_{2k}) \quad (2.1)$$

and let $\{x_n\}_{n=-2k}^\infty$ be a solution of Eq.(1.1) such that

$$0 < x_0, x_{-2}, \dots, x_{-2k+2}, x_{-2k} < U + \varepsilon \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$x_{-1} > x_{-3} > \cdots > x_{-2k+1} > \frac{\alpha + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_3 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-3} x_{-2k+4} + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+2}}{\varepsilon B_0}. \quad (2.3)$$

We claim that for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$x_{2n+1} > \frac{\alpha + \beta_0 x_n + \beta_2 x_{n-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-2} x_{n-(2k-2)} + \beta_{2k} x_{n-2k}}{A + B_0 x_n + B_2 x_{n-2} + \cdots + B_{2k-2} x_{n-(2k-2)} + B_{2k} x_{n-2k}} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$0 < x_{2n+2} < U + \varepsilon. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{\alpha + \beta_0 x_0 + \beta_1 x_{-1} + \beta_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+1} + \beta_{2k} x_{-2k}}{A + B_0 x_0 + B_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + B_{2k-2} x_{-2k+2} + B_{2k} x_{-2k}} \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha + \beta_0 x_0 + \beta_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-2} x_{-2k+2} + \beta_{2k} x_{-2k}}{A + B_0 x_0 + B_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + B_{2k-2} x_{-2k+2} + B_{2k} x_{-2k}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta_1 x_{-1}}{A + B_0 x_0 + B_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + B_{2k-2} x_{-2k+2} + B_{2k} x_{-2k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\frac{\beta_1}{A + B_0 x_0 + B_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + B_{2k-2} x_{-2k+2} + B_{2k} x_{-2k}} > 1$$

if and only if

$$\beta_1 > A + B_0 x_0 + B_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + B_{2k-2} x_{-2k+2} + B_{2k} x_{-2k}.$$

We know by (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &> A + (U + \varepsilon)(B_0 + B_2 + \cdots + B_{2k}) \\ &= A + B_0(U + \varepsilon) + B_2(U + \varepsilon) + \cdots + B_{2k}(U + \varepsilon) \\ &> A + B_0 x_0 + B_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + B_{2k} x_{-2k}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$x_1 > \frac{\alpha + \beta_0 x_0 + \beta_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{-2k+2} + \beta_{2k} x_{-2k}}{A + B_0 x_0 + B_2 x_{-2} + \cdots + B_{2k-2} x_{-2k+2} + B_{2k} x_{-2k}} + x_{-1}.$$

Now $x_2 > 0$ since $\beta_1 > 0$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_2 &= \frac{\alpha + \beta_0 x_1 + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_2 x_{-1} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+2} + \beta_{2k} x_{-2k+1}}{A + B_0 x_1 + B_2 x_{-1} + \cdots + B_{2k-2} x_{-2k+3} + B_{2k} x_{-2k+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_0 x_1}{B_0 x_1} + \frac{(\beta_2 + \beta_4 + \cdots + \beta_{2k}) x_1}{B_0 x_1} \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_3 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+2}}{A + B_0 x_1 + B_2 x_{-1} + B_4 x_{-3} + \cdots + B_{2k} x_{-2k+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\alpha + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_3 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+2}}{A + B_0 x_1 + B_2 x_{-1} + B_4 x_{-3} + \cdots + B_{2k} x_{-2k+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{\alpha + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_3 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+2}}{B_0 x_1 + B_2 x_{-1} + B_4 x_{-3} + \cdots + B_{2k} x_{-2k+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{\alpha + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_3 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+2}}{(B_0 + B_2 + B_4 + \cdots + B_{2k}) x_{-2k+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\alpha + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_3 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+2}}{B_0 + B_2 + B_4 + \cdots + B_{2k}} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{-2k+1}} \\
&< \frac{\alpha + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_3 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+2}}{B_0 + B_2 + B_4 + \cdots + B_{2k}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\varepsilon B_0}{\alpha + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_3 x_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1} x_{-2k+1}} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon B_0}{B_0 + B_2 + B_4 + \cdots + B_{2k}} \\
&\leq \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$x_2 < \frac{\beta_0}{B_0} + \frac{\beta_2 + \beta_4 + \cdots + \beta_{2k}}{B_0} + \varepsilon = U + \varepsilon.$$

The proof of the claim follows by induction.

In particular, $\{x_{2n+1}\}_{n=-k}^{\infty}$ is a strictly monotonically increasing sequence of positive real numbers.

We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+1} = \infty.$$

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that there exists a positive real number $S > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+1} = S.$$

Similarly to the method of Full Limiting Sequences (see [15] and [16]), there exist non-negative real numbers $L_1, L_0, L_{-1}, \dots, L_{-2k+1}, L_{-2k}$ and a sub-sequence $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i=0}^\infty$ of $\{x_n\}_{n=-k}^\infty$ such that the following statements are true:

1. $L_{-2j+1} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i - (2j-1)} = S$ for all $0 \leq j \leq k$.
2. $L_{-2j} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i - 2j} \leq U + \varepsilon$ for all $0 \leq j \leq k$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S &= L_1 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i+1} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha + \beta_0 x_{n_i} + \beta_1 x_{n_i-1} + \beta_2 x_{n_i-2} + \dots + \beta_{2k} x_{n_i-2k}}{A + B_0 x_{n_i} + B_2 x_{n_i-2} + \dots + B_{2k} x_{n_i-2k}} \\ &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_1 x_{n_i-1}}{A + B_0 x_{n_i} + B_2 x_{n_i-2} + \dots + B_{2k} x_{n_i-2k}} \\ &= \frac{\beta_1 L_{-1}}{A + B_0 L_0 + B_2 L_{-2} + \dots + B_{2k} L_{-2k}} \\ &= \frac{\beta_1 S}{A + B_0 L_0 + B_2 L_{-2} + \dots + B_{2k} L_{-2k}}. \end{aligned}$$

So as $S > 0$ and $\beta_1 S > 0$, it follows that

$$A + B_0 L_0 + B_2 L_{-2} + \dots + B_{-2k} L_{-2k} > 0.$$

Thus

$$S = L_1 = \frac{\alpha + \beta_0 L_0 + \beta_1 L_{-1} + \beta_2 L_{-2} + \dots + \beta_{2k} L_{-2k}}{A + B_0 L_0 + B_2 L_{-2} + \dots + B_{2k} L_{-2k}}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &S(A + B_0 L_0 + B_2 L_{-2} + \dots + B_{2k} L_{-2k}) \\ &= \alpha + \beta_0 L_0 + \beta_1 L_{-1} + \beta_2 L_{-2} + \dots + \beta_{2k} L_{-2k} \\ &= \alpha + (\beta_0 L_0 + \beta_2 L_{-2} + \dots + \beta_{2k} L_{-2k}) + S(\beta_1 + \beta_3 + \dots + \beta_{2k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
S[A + (B_0 + B_2 + \cdots + B_{2k})(U + \varepsilon)] &\geq S[A + B_0 L_0 + B_2 L_{-2} + \cdots + B_{2k} L_{-2k}] \\
&= \alpha + (\beta_0 L_0 + \beta_2 L_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k} L_{-2k}) + S(\beta_1 + \beta_3 + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1}) \\
&= \alpha + (\beta_0 L_0 + \beta_2 L_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k} L_{-2k}) + S\beta_1 + S(\beta_3 + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1}) \\
&> \alpha + (\beta_0 L_0 + \beta_2 L_{-2} + \cdots + \beta_{2k} L_{-2k}) \\
&\quad + S[A + (U + \varepsilon)(B_0 + B_2 + \cdots + B_{2k})] + S(\beta_3 + \cdots + \beta_{2k-1}) \\
&\geq S[A + (B_0 + B_2 + \cdots + B_{2k})(U + \varepsilon)],
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, and the proof is complete. $\square$

References

- [1] A. Amleh, E. Camouzis, and G. Ladas, On the Boundedness Character of Rational Equations, Part 2, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 12 (2006), 637-650.
- [2] E. Camouzis, On Rational Third-Order Difference Equations, Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Difference Equations, July 28-Aug 2, 2003, Brno, Czech Republic (to appear).
- [3] E. Camouzis, On the Dynamics of $x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + x_{n-2}}{x_{n-1}}$, Int. J. Appl. Math. Sci. 1 (2004), 133-149.
- [4] E. Camouzis, On the Boundedness of Some Rational Difference Equations, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 12 (2006), 69-94.
- [5] E. Camouzis, E. Chatterjee, G. Ladas, and E.P. Quinn, On Third-Order Rational Difference Equations, Part 3, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 10 (2004), 1119-1127.
- [6] E. Camouzis, E. Chatterjee, G. Ladas, and E.P. Quinn, Progress Report on the Boundedness Character of Third-Order Rational Equations, J. Difference Equa. Appl. (to appear).
- [7] E. Camouzis, R. DeVault, and W. Kosmala, On the Period-Five Trichotomy of $x_{n+1} = \frac{p + x_{n-2}}{x_n}$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 291 (2004), 40-49.
- [8] E. Camouzis, R. DeVault, and G. Papaschinopoulos, On the Recursive Sequence $x_{n+1} = \frac{\gamma x_{n-1} + x_{n-2}}{x_n + x_{n-2}}$, Advances in Difference Equations, No. 1 (2005), 31-40.
- [9] E. Camouzis and G. Ladas, On Third-Order Rational Difference Equations, Part 5, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 11 (2005), 553-562.

- [10] E. Camouzis, G. Ladas, F. Paladino, and E.P. Quinn, On the Boundedness Character of Rational Equations, Part 1, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 12 (2006), 503-523.
- [11] E. Camouzis, G. Ladas, and E.P. Quinn, On the Dynamics of $x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta x_n + \gamma x_{n-1} + \delta x_{n-2}}{A + x_n}$, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 10 (2004), 963-976.
- [12] E. Camouzis, G. Ladas, and E.P. Quinn, On Third-Order Rational Difference Equations, Part 2, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 10 (2004), 1041-1047.
- [13] E. Camouzis, G. Ladas, and E.P. Quinn, On Third-Order Rational Difference Equations, Part 6, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 11 (2005), 759-777.
- [14] E.A. Grove, Y. Kostrov, G. Ladas, and M. Predescu, On Third-Order Rational Difference Equations, Part 4, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 11 (2005), 261-269.
- [15] E.A. Grove and G. Ladas, Periodicities in Nonlinear Difference Equations, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, 2005.
- [16] G. Karakostas, Convergence of a Difference Equation Via the Full Limiting Sequences Method, Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems 1 (1993), 289-294.
- [17] G. Ladas, On Third-Order Rational Difference Equations, Part 1, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 10 (2004), 869-879.
- [18] Q. Wang, F. Zeng, G. Zang, and X. Liu, Dynamics of the Difference Equation $x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + B_1 x_{n-1} + B_3 x_{n-3} + \cdots + B_{2k+1} x_{n-2k-1}}{A + B_0 x_n + B_2 x_{n-2} + \cdots + B_{2k} x_{n-2k}}$, J. Difference Equa. Appl. 12 (2006), 399-417.